

# Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



# T&F4ALL – Track & Field, a race towards the future: inclusion in sport of young people from disadvantaged backgrounds Project n. EPP-1-2019-1-IT-SPO-SCP

# First periodic monitoring report

#### Sommario

Introduction	. 2
The Covid19 emergency and the project	. 2
Dissemination	. 2
Project activities	. 4
Transnational meeting: Kick off in Genoa 18-20 February 2020	. 5
Communication in the partnership	. 6
Project management activity	. 6
Coordination activity	. 7
Overall assessment	. 8
Conclusions	. 9

Genoa, 31.08.2020

#### Introduction

According to the monitoring and evaluation plan, a periodic questionnaire is submitted to the partners, one for each partner, about project state of the art and activities.

The questionnaire is prepared with Google form. A quantitative and qualitative analysis is carried out on the monitoring questionnaire and analysed together with other information collected during the project development from the coordinator or during the meetings.


The periodic questionnaire enquiries the involvement of the partner and development of the actual stage of the project, the communication between partners, the management and coordination, the satisfaction about the meetings, strengths and weaknesses of the project and the overall satisfaction. Immediately after the kick off, the project had to face the Covid19 emergency and lock down. This had an impact on the project activities, even if it was carried on at best all the same.

The questionnaire refers to the project period February 2020 - June 2020. Seven partners out of eight answered the questionnaire.

#### The Covid19 emergency and the project

The pandemic broke up just at the beginning of the project and forced the partnership to postpone some activities, mainly linked to face to face meeting, such as the first TPM as well as the first dissemination event and the contacts with local stakeholders or direct targets. The partnership transferred online any activity that they could, and some additional online meetings were planned. The first action, related to the study, was carried out without problems.

Therefore, the delays are limited, considering the situation.




Two partners underline that the new planning is realistic and will allow to be on time and that the online meetings were very effective.

#### Dissemination


Any partner did some dissemination action, mainly through social media. This is a good starting point for the dissemination plan.

Did you publish articles about the project? 7 responses



Did you share the link of the T&F4All website on your socials?

#### 7 responses



Measures of the websites visits or likes on social media are not collected in some cases. All the partners are active on Facebook and some on Instagram, less used Linkedin.

The measure of the social media posts could be better monitored and in a more homogeneous way by the partners.


Most of the activity was concentrated on social media, since the pandemic prevented to organise face to face meetings.

However, some dissemination actions have already been started or planned:

- posts about the skype calls we did and the description of the partners.
- At the summer workshops for children within the organisation and local partners' workshops.
- in the context of cooperation with Timisoara Local Action Group, regarding ways to involve disadvantaged young people, the project was presented as a good example; similar activity is in discussions with partners in the Local Action Group of the municipality of Vulcan, in the centre of Romania
- preparing the event in September- charity run within we will present the project.

Although some comments that the work did not stopped and that for the early stage the dissemination activity was good, The Facebook page and the Instagram one are not considered good enough. Better the satisfaction for the web page.


The overall satisfaction for the dissemination activity is 6,7 (average value) on a scale 1 to 10, with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 10.




#### **Project activities**

The first IO was completed with a good cooperation between all the partners. The information and materials could be easily available; the activity did non require too contacts with other people, so the pandemic affected it just slightly.

All the partners are very satisfied of the result and most of them consider the collected good practices as transferable.




Considering IO1 (Study about street track and field as a tool for social inclusion), did you




## Transnational meeting: Kick off in Genoa 18-20 February 2020

All the partners are very satisfied, from many points of view: agenda, content, participation, logistics.



Rate your satisfaction with logistic arrangements




#### Communication in the partnership

Communication and tools are considered good or excellent.

A partner suggests considering the creation of a WhatsApp group.

The overall satisfaction in very high: 9 (average value) on a scale 1 to 10, with a minimum of 7 and a maximum of 10.





#### Project management activity

All the project management activities receive a positive assessment, even if just a few choose the top of the scale.

Cooperation between partners is good, for three it is better than expected; the group is becoming a team.

The overall satisfaction in very high: 9,2 (average value) on a scale 1 to 10, with a minimum of 7 and a maximum of 10






### Coordination activity

The partners are satisfied with the coordinator and the coordination activity. Most of the answers are completely positive.

The overall satisfaction in very high in this case too: 9,2 (average value) on a scale 1 to 10, with a minimum of 7 and a maximum of 10.



#### Overall assessment

The overall assessment of the project is very high: a challenge for the coordinator and the partnership to keep it this high for the entire duration of the project.

The strengths of the project are the good cooperation between the partners, aiming to common objective and the interest of the topic. Weaknesses are linked just to the Covid19 emergency at the moment.

Strengths:	Weaknesses:
<ul> <li>All PPs were able to collect data and to send feedback to the responsible partner</li> <li>The main strength of the project is a dedicated group of partners still trying to do their best to make this project a success no matter what the situation is.</li> <li>A good team, interesting topic and value on international comparison</li> <li>Good cooperation between partners in case of developing IO1</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To have no opportunity to see each other and work together for a day long as it happens during a meeting. Because it helps to become a better partnership. In any case skype calls helps a lot.</li> <li>The project requires more interaction with many groups of people and sport activities but we could not find that opportunity, at least in Turkey, yet.</li> <li>Pandemic context makes interest in the topic lower from the part of the local stakeholders</li> <li>COVID-19 and impossibility to organize a normal meeting in Poland</li> </ul>

The overall satisfaction for the project state of art, partners' relationship and coordination is very good.

	Are you satisfied with the project state of the art?	Are you satisfied with the relationships between partners?	Are you satisfied with the coordinator's activity?
Average value	8,7	8,9	9,2
Minimum	7	7	7
Maximum	10	10	10

#### Conclusions

The reorganisation due to the Covid19 emergency was effective. Most of the due activity went on and the re-planning appear realistic and affordable.

The partnership started immediately to communicate the project, through websites and social media. An agreement on the communication/dissemination plan could be useful to homogenise the activities, share contents and measure social media numbers for any partner. An improvement of the Facebook and Instagram pages of the project would be necessary a well.

The IO1 tested the capacity of the partnership to work together and they did it with satisfaction. Some partners think the relationship are better than expected and some that are as good as expected; no negative statements are present in the answers.

The overall satisfaction and the assessment of single aspect of the project are very high (above a mean of 8 in any indicator). The partnership consider itself and the cooperation as a strong point as well as the interest of the topic of the project. No weak points are reported other than the consequences of the Covid19 emergency. This high assessment is a challenge for the coordinator and the partnership to keep it in the following phases.