T&F4ALL

Track & Field, a race towards the future: inclusion in sport of young people from disadvantaged backgrounds

Project n. EPP-1-2019-1-IT-SPO-SCP

Third periodic monitoring questionnaire

January – June 2021

Summary

September 2021

Introduction:

According to the monitoring and evaluation plan, a periodic questionnaire is submitted to any of the partners, one for each partner to be filled in with the collective opinion of the partner about project state of the art and activities.

The questionnaire is prepared with Google form. A quantitative and qualitative analysis is carried out on the monitoring questionnaire and joined with other info collected during the project development from the coordinator or during the meetings.

The periodic questionnaire enquiries the involvement of the partner and development of the actual stage of the project, the communication between partners, the management and coordination, the satisfaction about the meetings, strengths and weaknesses of the project and the overall satisfaction. The questionnaire is updated to the activities of the period and to specific matters that may occur.

The questionnaire refers to the project period from January to June 2021. It was sent the 5th of August, with a 20 days delay. The answers are few: just the partners (Spain, Slovenia and Turkey). The summertime could contribute to the defection, but it is also a crisis indicator for the project.

Project activity

The Covid pandemic had a great impact on the project development. The main impact that occurred was the inability to carry out face-to-face activities. However, one respondent emphasizes that the implementation and development of the project was very well modified and useful. Another impact was the inability to achieve the target group because it was impossible to hold the local events.

8- Do you think a revision of the project activities scheduling is necessary due to the Covid pandemic ?

3 risposte

- Yes, a complete revision
- Yes, some changes are needed
- No, just minor changes are needed
- No, the actual scheduling is still ok

33,3%

66,7%

Two out of three respondents believe that a review of the project's schedule of activities is necessary due to the Covid pandemic. On the other hand, one respondent believes that only a few minor changes are needed.

Dissemination

All respondents (three) published articles about the project on the social media, and two of them shared the project's link on their social media pages like Facebook and Instagram.

10-Did you publish articles about the project?
3 risposte

- No
- Yes, on local newspapers/magazines
- Yes, on social media
- Yes, on our website

100%

11-Did you share the link of the T&F4All website on your socials?
3 risposte

No — 1 (33,3%)
Yes, on our website — 0 (0%)
Yes, on our social media pages (FB, Instagram, etc.) — 2 (66,7%)
Yes, on one or more web articles — 0 (0%)

Articles/link visit:	Likes on website:	Likes on Facebook:	Likes on Linkedin:	Likes on Instagram	Likes on Others Social Media:
• Around 100 • 15	• no date	• 369 • 358, English page and 2519 • 1127	• 2374 • 24	• 506 • 730	• 93

One respondent carried out other dissemination actions in this period through a presentation of the project during other relevant projects and seminars, mostly during online meetings. No one of the three respondents encountered any problem in carrying out the dissemination activity. One respondent add that "The majority of our dissemination is taking place on social media or presentation of the project goals on other activities. Due to Covid-19 restrictions we modified accordingly".

Regarding the delay, one of the respondents replied that there was some delay which related to the specific situation. The pandemic caused some delay on the whole project. The same state that in order to manage the problem they have rescheduled their planned activities.

Two out of three respondents considered the project's Facebook page to be quite effective, while one felt it was not effective enough. In addition, the two respondents report interacting with the Facebook page sharing posts and linking website. The same rating is given for the project's Instagram page and website. The satisfaction with the project's dissemination activities in general at this stage is rated on an average of 7 on a scale of 1 to 10, with a minimum of 6 and a maximum of 8.

18-In your opinion, is the Facebook page of the project effective?
3 risposte

- No, not at all
- No, not enough
- Yes, enough
- Yes, very much

66,7%

33,3%

20-In your opinion, is the Instagram page of the project effective?
3 risposte

- No, not at all
- No, not enough
- Yes, enough
- Yes, very much

66,7%

33,3%

21-In your opinion, is the website of the project effective?

3 risposte

22-Please, rate your satisfaction with the dissemination activity in general

3 risposte

Additional Comments:

- We will need to work more on this, finding a way how to do it since the majority of the activities so far were producing written material.
- I assume the dissemination activities will be more effective after the training period.

Intellectual output

During the reporting period, the three respondents say they carried out the following activities with regard to IO2 TRAINING MODULES of street track and field for educators and coaches, mainly with the development of the training module and of their content together with other partners.

Regarding the problems encountered while conducting this activity, one partner reports that these mostly refer to the difficulty of communicating only through online channels, however the two respondents point out how they overcame these problems, in fact, one states that the project's external partners helped gather the material for the content, and the other states that he requested written checklists and he contacted those responsible in person to do the right tasks.

Additional Comments:

- We are a bit late and maybe summer was the best time to have the training because in other seasons there were lock downs and it is possible to have them again and it will be very difficult to have a training with everybody in a room which I prefer to online training

Communication Tools in the partnership

All respondents are satisfied about the organization of the Drive archive and considered it "good". For communication between partners different tools are used, mainly Personal e-mail, Skype or other similar tools, and all respondents considered these tools effective (two considered it enough effective) and very effective (one). The satisfaction for the Communication between partners is still rated 9,3 (average value), on a scale 1 to 10, with a minimum of 8 and a maximum of 10.

**31-In your opinion, the organisation of the Google Drive archive is:**

3 risposte

- Very weak
- weak
- good
- Excellent

100%

32-Which communication tools did you use to contact other partners? (check all the tools you used)

3 risposte

| Tool	Count
Personal e-mails	2 (66,7%)
Skype o other similar tool	3 (100%)
Telephone	0 (0%)
Whatsapp	0 (0%)
Project Website	0 (0%)

+

33-Were they effective?

3 risposte

- no, not at all
- no, not enough
- yes, enough
- yes, very much

33,3%

66,7%

**36-Are you satisfied with the communication between partners? Overall assessment rating 1 minimum – 10 maximum**

3 risposte

- no, not at all
- no, not enough
- yes, enough
- yes, very much

0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 1 (33,3%) 0 (0%) 2 (66,7%)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Project management activity

All partners considered the time-schedule and deadlines enough coherent with the foreseen activities, and they declared that the human resources were coherent with the activity to be carried out in this period. In the same way, the respondents considered that the foreseen budget was coherent with the activity to be carried out in this period. The cooperation between partners was overall good. Two out of three respondents affirmed that the cooperation was as good they expected and other one thought that was better than he expected, and they think that the partnership could became a team. No one answered negatively. The satisfaction for the project management is good for all. It is rated 4,6 average value, on a scale 1 to 5, with a minimum of 4 and a maximum of 5.

37-Were time-schedule and deadlines coherent with the foreseen activities?

3 risposte

- No, not at all
- no, not enough
- yes, enough
- yes, very much

100%

38-Were planned human resources coherent with the activity to be carried out in this period?

3 risposte

- No, not at all
- no, not enough
- yes, enough
- yes, very much

33,3%

66,7%

39-Was the foreseen budget coherent with the activity to be carried out in this period?

3 risposte

- No, not at all
- no, not enough
- yes, enough
- yes, very much

33,3%

66,7%

**40-Was the cooperation between partners as you expected?**

3 risposte

- no, it was worse than I expected
- yes, it was as good as I expected
- yes, it was better than I expected

33,3%

66,7%

41-Is the partnership becoming a team?

3 risposte

- Yes, any partner is acting as a member of a team cooperating to a common goal
- Yes, but some partners participate less than others
- No, there is some participation and cooperation, but it is discontinuous
- No, each partner is acting for itself and answers just to the coordinator requests

43-Are you satisfied with the project management activity? Overall assessment rating 1 minimum – 10 maximum

3 risposte

Coordination activity

Coordination activity leaves respondents satisfied. In their opinion, the coordinator sent the documentation needed to carry out the project. The documentation is considered to be clear, "enough" or "very much". Similar opinion about timing and additional info: the coordinator sent the documentations in due time and gave all the further needed information. They also consider that the periodical Skype meeting helped the implementation of the project during the Covid emergency. The coordination activity is rated 9,3 (average value), on a scale 1 to 10, with a minimum of 8 and a maximum of 10.

44-Did the coordinator send you all the documentation needed to carry out the project?

3 risposte

- no, not at all
- no, not enough
- yes, enough
- yes, very much

**45-Was the documentation clear?**

3 risposte

- no, not at all
- no, not enough
- yes, enough
- yes, very much

46-Did the coordinator send the documentation in due time?

3 risposte

- no, not at all
- no, not enough
- yes, enough
- yes, very much

49-Did the coordinator give all the further information you needed to carry out the project?

3 risposte

- no, not at all
- no, not enough
- yes, enough
- yes, very much

47-Did the periodical skype meeting help the implementation of the project (also considering the Covid emergency)?

3 risposte

- no, not at all
- no, not enough
- yes, enough
- yes, very much

51-Are you satisfied with the coordination activity? Overall assessment rating 1 minimum – 10 maximum

3 risposte

Overall assessments

**Strengths and weaknesses to the project up to now and overall satisfaction:**

Two out of three respondents highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the project at this monitoring period.

| Strengths of the project	Weaknesses of the project
• Very good partnership, excellent coordinators which lead to the effective implementation of the project goals. • The partners of the project are experienced and they know how to continue even in a situation like the pandemic.	• The expectations for the IO2 TRAINING MODULES of every partner should have been more clear and that would be better to discuss this subject deeply in person.

The overall satisfaction for the project state of art, partners' relationship and coordination is good.

|	Are you satisfied with the project state of the art?	Are you satisfied with the relationships between partners?	Are you satisfied with the coordinator's activity?
Average value	9	9,3	9,3
Minimum	8	8	8
Maximum	10	10	10

54-Are you satisfied with the project state of the art? Overall assessment rating 1 minimum – 10 maximum
3 risposte

55-Are you satisfied with the relationships between partners? Overall assessment rating 1 minimum – 10 maximum
3 risposte

56-Are you satisfied with the coordinator's activity? Overall assessment rating 1 minimum – 10 maximum
3 risposte

Conclusion:

The third monitoring questionnaire had a low turnout. The period of the sanitary emergency represented an obstacle for some activities foreseen by the project such as the impossibility to reach the target group and the impossibility to carry out face-to-face activities. It is possible that also the turnout to the questionnaire can be included among the problems that the pandemic has determined on the whole project. It is anyway a sing of temporary crisis in the partnership.

However, although few in number, those who took part in the questionnaire foresee a good continuation of the project. The associations worked actively to manage and plan the expected activities for the subsequent phases.

Satisfactions with the partnership, the work of the coordinator, and the dissemination activity were rated positively by respondents.

The implementation phase of the IO2 TRAINING MODULES has moved forward and the respondents who took part to the questionnaire appear to have actively contributed.